

Debra P. Hackett Clerk, U.S. District Court 15 LEE ST STE 206 MONTGOMERY AL 36104-4055

December 26, 2007

Appeal Number: 07-14523-A Case Style: Albert Carter, Jr. v. USA

District Court Number: 05-00654 CV-F-N-2

TO: Debra P. Hackett

CC: Albert Carter, Jr. (AIS 107266)

CC: James B. Perrine

CC: Kent B. Brunson

CC: Leura Garrett Canary

CC: Administrative File

## **United States Court of Appeals**

Eleventh Circuit 56 Forsyth Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Thomas K. Kahn Clerk

For rules and forms visit www.ca11.uscourts.gov

701 pecember 26, 26073

Debra P. Hackett Clerk, U.S. District Court 15 LEE ST STE 206

MONTGOMERY AL 36104-4055

Appeal Number: 07-14523-A

Case Style: Albert Carter, Jr. v. USA

District Court Number: 05-00654 CV-F-N-2 SECONDARY CASE NO: 03-00061-CR-2

The enclosed certified copy of this Court's order denying the application for a Certificate of Appealability is issued as the mandate of this court. See 11th Cir. R. 41-4. Counsel and pro se parties are advised that pursuant to 11th Cir. R. 27-2, "a motion to reconsider, vacate, or modify an order must be filed within 21 days of the entry of such order. No additional time shall be allowed for mailing."

Also enclosed is the record on appeal, which consists of: One volume pleadings and One sealed PSI.

The district court clerk is requested to acknowledge receipt on the copy of this letter enclosed to the clerk.

Sincerely,

THOMAS K. KAHN, Clerk

Reply To: Deborah Owens/ABM (404) 335-6180

Encl.

a contract of the contract of	等,我们就是我们的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们也没有一个人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是他 第一章
IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCU	APPEALS, COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
2001 DEC 21 P 4: 23	DEC <b>2 6</b> 2007
No. 07-14523-A	THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK

ALBERT CARTER, JR.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama

## ORDER:

Appellant's motion for a certificate of appealability, as construed from the notice of appeal, is DENIED because appellant has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

Appellant's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED AS MOOT.

A True Copy - Altested: Cherk, U.S. Court of Appeals.

/s/ Ed Carnes UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE